July 6, 1954

## SECRET

Dear Shermant

I attach a now somewhat ancient mone from Dick Sommon, embodying am interesting suggestion on the post mortem procedure.

On getting Dick's name, I asked that a review be made of MIR's on Indochina ever the past few years. I now have a selection of excerpts from estimates dating back to December, 1950.

If one were to call 'out the most prescient passages, it would make the intelligence community's views appear to have been positively clairveyant.

In 1950, for example, we said, collectivelys

Winder these circumstances there is only a slight chance that the French can .... build up an independent Vietnamese government and an effective mational army ..."

in early 1951s

\*through mid-1952, the probable outlook in Indochina is one of gradual deterioration of the Propos-Vistamese military position."

In 1952, April:

The French have apparently come to believe that they san no longer schieve a military decision in Indochina and that the Indochina problem can only be solved within the context of some form of over-all settlement in the Far East, perhaps following the Korean War.

Mr. Sherman Kent,
Director, Office of Mational Estimates,
The Contral Intelligence agency,
Washington, S. C.

SECRET



In June, 1953:

"The overall French Union position in Indochina .... will probably deteriorate during the period of this estimate (thru mid-1954)."

In October, 1953:

"Should it (the Lamiel-Mayarre plan) fail, .... we believe that, unless the US proves willing to contribute forces, the French will in time seek to negotiate directly with the Communists for the best possible terms."

Hore quotes could be found, but the pattern is clear. We saw the main factors correctly -- the failure of the Bao Dai-French political experiment, the decline of French will, the importance of Chinese aid to Viet Minh -- and we draw the correct conclusions.

It-is honest to say that we were overly pessimistic about the immediate future in 1950. And in October of 1953, in MIE-99, we gave the Lamiel-Wavarre plan much higher marks than it deserved; and I believe we flew in the face of logic and evidence them — I can say so, because OIR was a supporter of the erroneous (in retrospect) view.

Still and all, the record is one that needs few spologies. And it does bear out Dick's point that something can be gleaned from a post mortem on the substance of estimates. If the idea appeals to O/NE, we would be happy to collaborate in a suitably limited experiment.

Sincerely,

Director
Office of Intelli ence Research

Attachs

oc Mr. Scammen's memo to Mr. Evens, dtd 5-14-5k.(0)

SECRET

SECRET